


Pension valuations: A headache avoided?

The new pension valuation rules were expected to be an onerous and potentially restrictive regulatory burden. But two years after their introduction, for most schemes this hasn't come to pass. Nick Vine explains why.

Proportion of schemes in surplus



Source: TPR's 2026 Annual Funding Statement

Strong upfront planning helps schemes avoid onerous compliance requirements

80% of schemes expected to meet Fast Track criteria

10% of schemes expected to be in technical provisions deficit

Valuations have evolved from budgeting exercises to **strategic planning tools**

Bracing for change

When the new regime was being planned, there was apprehension among trustees preparing for their first valuations.

The regulations require schemes to target a 'low dependency' funding position by the time the scheme is significantly mature. Many feared that this would force schemes into defensive, low-yielding investment strategies much earlier than planned. Employer covenant requirements introduced new metrics that could potentially further restrict timeframes due to a lack of long-term corporate visibility.

All that, combined with the massive amount of granular detail required by The Pensions Regulator (TPR) via the new statement of strategy, made the process look likely to be a regulatory headache.

Funding improvements changed the narrative

As the regulations came into effect, however, changing funding levels meant that the picture has been quite different. A dramatic rise in gilt yields over the last few years significantly reduced the value of scheme liabilities. Instead of struggling to fund deficits, many schemes unexpectedly found themselves in a much stronger position, in some cases boasting a healthy surplus on low dependency and even on an insurance buyout measure.

TPR recognised this shift.

A new role for valuations

Valuations have traditionally been a rigid budgeting exercise focused on managing deficits and securing cash. They have evolved into a strategic tool used to design, refine and track progress against long-term endgame goals.

For well-funded schemes that already have long-term strategies integrated into their day-to-day operations, the valuation is simply a helpful checkpoint. For others, the recent funding improvements have provided an opportunity for constructive endgame planning.

Crucially, we have found that the most onerous compliance elements of the new regime often fall away when the valuation is well planned. The key is to avoid treating the statement of strategy as an afterthought.

By using the statement to drive the valuation from the outset - a pragmatic philosophy backed by TPR - the compliance burden can be significantly reduced.

The Fast Track advantage

The cleanest path to cutting complexity lies in TPR's twin-track framework. Thanks to strong markets, TPR anticipates that around 80% of DB schemes can now meet the Fast Track criteria. Taking the time to understand the requirements of the Fast Track route and its impact on the statement of strategy is clearly worthwhile. Going the extra mile to confirm that you meet Fast Track will likely be more than worth it.

While Bespoke remains vital in some circumstances, it demands extensive, potentially costly evidence to justify scheme-specific risks. For most well-funded arrangements, Fast Track is a no-brainer.

Fast Track can be further streamlined for schemes that are low-risk and at low dependency. In this scenario, expensive covenant requirements fall away, leaving a single, binary question: *Is your covenant adequate?* The market response to covenant should favour pragmatism. The strategic focus is now on active downside risk management rather than dense, costly assessments. This is to be welcomed.

A deficit challenge remains

We acknowledge however, that a stark divide remains for schemes still trapped in deficit.

For these schemes, the valuation is still an intensive budgeting exercise heavily focused on deficit repair. As shortfalls must be eradicated as quickly as the sponsor can reasonably afford, these valuations will continue to centre on cash generation. For trustees of these schemes, mapping out endgame horizons or insurance buyouts can feel like a futile activity, For trustees of these schemes, mapping out endgame horizons or insurance buyouts can feel like a futile activity, but can help give structure to key funding and investment decisions.

In conclusion, the compliance framework remains extensive, but the path forward does not have to be painful. For most trustees, navigating the new regime successfully shouldn't be a cause for stress. They'd feared rigid rules. Instead TPR gave them flexibility. And room to breathe.

Beyond this article

Keep exploring...

Fast Track vs Bespoke?
Explore our FIS guide

Missed our last XPS Insight?
Catch up here

Want more on pension surplus?
Join our webcast series

**Funding and
Investment Strategy
Companion Part Five:
Fast Track vs Bespoke**

XPS Insights
**Pensions 2050:
A Wake-up Call**


**The 'Surplus
Sessions'...**



Having worked closely with trustees on their first valuations under the new regime, I've seen a clear gap between what many expected and what has actually happened. For most schemes, the conversation has moved beyond deficits and compliance towards interesting discussions around long-term strategy and the options available.

Find out more

For further information, please get in touch with **Nick Vine** or speak to your usual XPS Group contact.



Nick Vine
Head of DB Strategy Solutions

 nick.vine@xpsgroup.com

 [xpsgroup](https://www.xpsgroup.com)

© XPS Group. XPS Pensions Consulting Limited, Registered No. 2459442. XPS Pensions Limited, Registered No. 3842603. XPS Administration Limited, Registered No. 9428346. XPS Pensions (RL) Limited, Registered No. 5817049. XPS Pensions (Trigon) Limited, Registered No. 12085392. XPS Covenant Ltd, Registered No. 08020393. XPS IC Ltd, Registered No. 9640309. XPS Investment Limited, Registered No. 6242672, authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority for investment and general insurance business (FCA Register No. 528774). All registered at: Phoenix House, 1 Station Hill, Reading, RG1 1NB. APR Actuarial Solutions (Europe) Limited, Registered No. 677130. Registered at: South Point, Herbert House, Harmony Row, Dublin 2, Ireland, D02 H270.

This communication is based on our understanding of the position as at the date shown. It should not be relied upon for detailed advice or taken as an authoritative statement of the law.